

Plan	Opinion	Comment	Name	Email	City	State
HDP3-1	Dislike	I do not approve of the first three maps. They all seem to be rigged to protect a Republican advantage and restrict people in my neighborhood from voting for issues that matter to us as part of the East Helena Community. East Helena is growing. There is no way that the issues for myself and my immediate neighborhoods align with the residents of Townsend and Manhattan.	Robyn Morrison	robymorrison1@me.com	Helena	Montana
HDP1-1	Dislike	This map does not allow me to vote with other members of the larger East Helena Community. I am an independent voter and I am sick and tired of the process supporting turf battles between the two parties. I want to vote on local issues with other local residents not with people from Townsend or Manhattan.	Robyn Morrison	robymorrison1@me.com	Helena	Montana
HDP 4-1	Like	This is the only map developed by the Commission that makes any sense to me at all. I am an engaged and active independent voter. I don't share the two parties' concerns about protecting their turf. I just want to vote with my neighbors and my community. We consider East Helena or main community because it is closer than Helena. East Helena now has a High School and needs to develop a stronger sense of community and I want to be able to vote for candidates HERE instead of with people in Townsend and all of the way down to Manhattan.	Robyn J Morrison	robymorrison1@me.com	Helena	Montana

HDP 4-1	Dislike	At first glance, it appears this map is more orderly, however it doesn't take into account more complex, geopolitical surroundings. The smaller, distinct districts, like 31, 32, 91, 6, 18, stand out from the larger districts, suggesting they've been hand picked for one reason or another. In comparison, Proposal 2 makes it is much more difficult to determine which districts were given special treatment as more districts take into account different geo-political factors.	Tatum Gray	tgray1@mtech.edu	Dillon	MT
HDP1-1	Dislike	Instance of a district being embedded within another, surrounding district. Seems odd to have Whitefish be the sole voice of the district when surrounding entities exist.	Tatum Gray	tgray1@mtech.edu	Dillon	MT
CP4	Dislike	I am not in favor of splitting counties.	Mark F Pearson	geologic_time@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP1	Dislike	I am not in favor of splitting counties.	Mark F Pearson	geologic_time@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
CP3	Dislike	I am not in favor of splitting Gallatin County or any other county.	Mark F Pearson	geologic_time@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
HDP2-1	Like	HDP2 keeps Livingston voting for one person rather than 2 or 3. It meets the other criteria for a balanced map. Keep Livingston together, not split.	Judy Lewis	judylewis809@gmail.com	Livingston	MT
CP2	Like	I am an advocate of not splitting counties. Consideration should be given to separating the representation of rural & tribal communities and representation of more densely populated communities. Is this gerrymandering if this addresses Montanans representation in the more densely populated counties to which their needs are different from the rural parts of the state? Also, I do not think splitting districts to share the border of Canada is an issue.	Mark F Pearson	geologic_time@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT

Map number 4 will harm the residents of Park County by splitting us into separate voting districts. Livingston is a larger city by Montana standards and deserved to be represented by a single person. Eliminate Map 4. Maps 2 and 3 are fairer to both political parties

HDP 4-1

Dislike

Judy Lewis

[judyLewis809@gmail.com](mailto:judyLewis809@gmail.com)

Livingston

MT