Online map comments, Nov. 9 to 10 a.m. Nov 11, 2021

Plan	Opinion	Comment	Name	Email	City	State
	•	Dividing Park and Gallatin is not right.				
CP12	Dislike		Christian Black	blackak@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
	(I preferred CP12 before the last amendment. 1) The original split kept the populations equal				
		between the two Districts closer to equal population. 2) The original CP12 had Pondera				
		County only having to redraw 1 precinct line rather than impact 2 precincts. 3) Given that				
		Pondera County must be split, the original map made it more likely that the Western				
		Candidate would visit the County as the area represented more population before the				
		amendment. I also believe the original map is less likely to lose a court challenge. Please				
Tentative Congressional Plan	Opinion	reconsider the amendment.	Llewelyn Jones	ljones@mtbus.net	Conrad	MT
	(While other maps were closer to perfectly balanced, the variation in population is statistically				
		insignificant and does keep the dividing lines along county government regions. While				
		Pondera is divided, it does reflect the Blackfeet tribal interests better than trying to keep it				
Tentative Congressional Plan	Like	together.	Steve Eschenbacher	seschenbac@yahoo.com	Polson	MT
	(I like map CP12 because it makes 2 districts that are basically divided as to local culture. Most				
1		definitely the whole Flathead should be kept in the west because of it's cultural attachments				
1		to the Glacier area mountains and tourists and like-minded towns there. It doesn't make sense				
CP12	Like	to divide counties. Thanks for this map.	Dianne Hansen	diannedave2@gmail.com	Eureka	Montana
		This is the map that divides the state most fairly. I support this map more than any of the				
CP11	Like	others.	Jenna Martin	jennamartinphoto@gmail.com	Billings	Montana
		I by far prefer Map 11, but if I have to choose between Map 12 and 13, Map 13 is the fairer				
CP13	Like	option.	Jenna Martin	jennamartinphoto@gmail.com	Billings	Montana

As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							1
maintains ultimate control over our state for the next decade. The commission should not be making a partisan decision based on a hunch that a "well qualified" demorate could possibly win in the western district in certain conditions. The commission SHOULD be looking at trends and population shifts and paying attention to bedroom communities and where people reside compared to where they make their money. They should also consider the fact that not one other National Park in the country is split into multiple congressional districts when in the same state. Montana contains thousands of acres of YNP, as well as the gateway to park headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It would be incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Like In this park of the Arriva work of the map to do			CP 12 is uncompetitive and nearsighted, with only one obvious purpose of ensuring one party				
win in the western district in certain conditions. The commission SHOULD be looking at trends and population shifts and paying attention to bedroom communities and where people reside compared to where they make their money. They should also consider the fact that not one other National Park in the country is split into multiple congressional districts when in the same state. Montana contains thousands of acres of YNP, as well as the gateway to park headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It would be incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability (1) tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common. CP12 Dislike sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. Kyle Meakins kmeaks1@gmail.com Livingston Monta State of the Armonic State of the Arm							
and population shifts and paying attention to bedroom communities and where people reside compared to where they make their money. They should also consider the fact that not one other National Park in the country is split into multiple congressional districts when in the same state. Montana contains thousands of acres of YNP, as well as the gateway to park headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It would be incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common resource. For commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Dislike sense. The commission must athem the map to do so. A stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. Conrad MT I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partian games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta arguments on the various pros and c			making a partisan decision based on a hunch that a "well qualified" democrat could possibly				
compared to where they make their money. They should also consider the fact that not one other National Park in the country is split into multiple congressional districts when in the same state. Montana contains thousands of acres of YNP, as well as the gateway to park headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It would be incredibly igenorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hindre the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common CP12 Dislike sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks CP12 Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (now did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. CP13 Ike consideration. Ike consideration. Ike consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this should be their choice and to choose anything less is devolving into partising pames. Filizabeth Kestler kestler e@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Ma			win in the western district in certain conditions. The commission SHOULD be looking at trends				
other National Park in the country is split into multiple congressional districts when in the same state. Montana contains thousands of acres of YNP, as well as the gateway to park headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It would be incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common countries. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Dislike sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks As stated in my comments on Map 11, think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. CP14 Like consideration. Like consideration. Like consideration. Like product and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP14 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Like consideration. CP15 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Like commission has gone through 33 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit			and population shifts and paying attention to bedroom communities and where people reside				
same state. Montana contains thousands of acres of YNP, as well as the gateway to park headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It woulds be incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common CP12			compared to where they make their money. They should also consider the fact that not one				
headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It would be incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common commons. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Dislike sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Like Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks Salle Palmer bewhatyouis@icloud.com Roundup Monta As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta arguments on the various pros and consessetted of CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best serve MT in the future. CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Livental the submit of			other National Park in the country is split into multiple congressional districts when in the				
incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common senses. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Dislike sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Like Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks Sallie Palmer bewhatyouis@icloud.com Roundup Monta As stated in my comments on Map 11, think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. CP14 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pross and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best serve MT in the fulture. Marc LSabin mIsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT			same state. Montana contains thousands of acres of YNP, as well as the gateway to park				
congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common countries. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Disilke sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Like Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT			headquarters and the busiest gate by visitor numbers, West Yellowstone. It would be				
amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common CP12 Disilke sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. Kyle Meakins kmeaks1@gmail.com Livingston Monta CP12 Like Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks Sallie Palmer bewhatyouis@icloud.com Roundup Monta As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen). I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad MT I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this or want the state of the commission of the various pros and consecuted on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best serve MT1 in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT Warc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT			incredibly ignorant to not consider the impacts of splitting these two communities into two				
increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common Supplied Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common Supplied Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common Supplied Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common Supplied Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common Supplied Park and Gallatin Counties into the Sallie Palmer bewhatyouis@icloud.com Roundup Monta As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen). I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better consideration. CP13 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mIsabin@mail.com Corvallis MT			congressional districts, unless the commission simply doesn't value public lands and the				
resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common CP12 Dislike sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. CP12 Like Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all			amount of money YNP brings into Montana. The tourism economy is sustainable and ever				
CP12 Disilike sense. The commission must amend the map to do so. Kyle Meakins kmeaks1@gmail.com Livingston Monta CP12 Like Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best across the total must be the serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all			increasing, and splitting up the park would only hinder the states ability to fully tap into this				
CP12 Like Thanks for the hard work. CP12 is the best option for all Montanans, Thanks As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin misabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all			resource. Grouping Park and Gallatin Counties into the western district is logical and common				
As stated in my comments on Map 11, I think that is clearly the best one. However, since 12 and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad MT I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all	CP12	Dislike	sense. The commission must amend the map to do so.	Kyle Meakins	kmeaks1@gmail.com	Livingston	Montana
and 13 are apparently the final runners up (how did that even happen), I think 13 is superior to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like Consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like Should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like Serve MT in the future. I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all	CP12	Like	•	Sallie Palmer	bewhatyouis@icloud.com	Roundup	Montana
to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad MT I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
have those changes matter. It also takes Native American tribes' wishes into better CP13 Like consideration. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad MT I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
Like consideration. I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all			to 12, mostly because it is more competitive and will allow our state to grow and change and				
I know this map has be voted down but I want to throw in my retroactive support because after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all			-				
after examining all 13 maps, this is clearly the most competitive one that keeps areas of similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all	CP13	Like	consideration.	Elizabeth Kestler	kestlere@gmail.com	Conrad	MT
similar interest together (Like Pondera Country with District 2) but still allows the state to grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
grow and change. I think regardless of what the commission eventually decides, that this CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
CP11 Like should be their choice and to choose anything else is devolving into partisan games. Elizabeth Kestler kestlere@gmail.com Conrad Monta The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
The Commission has gone through 13 different Maps and after many deliberations and arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
arguments on the various pros and cons settled on CP12. I recommend you stick with that decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all	CP11	Like	, , , , , , ,	Elizabeth Kestler	kestlere@gmail.com	Conrad	Montana
decision as further changes around the edges may only serve to make the decision worse rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
rather than better. Have the courage to submit CP12 has the final redistricting plan to best CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all			·				
CP12 Like serve MT in the future. Marc LSabin mlsabin@gmail.com Corvallis MT I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
I want the thank you for your hard work and diligence in this very important matter for all							
	CP12	Like		Marc LSabin	mlsabin@gmail.com	Corvallis	MT
ICP12 Like Montanans. CP12 is definitely the best map for ALL Montanans. Thank you again. lames Carl iosh42065@gmail.com Kalisnell MT			, , ,				
Sum	CP12	Like	Montanans. CP12 is definitely the best map for ALL Montanans. Thank you again.	James Carl	josh42065@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

		Thank you for your work! Map 12 is the best choice. Some maps were quite bizarre… but CP				
CP12	Like	12 is the most equitable.	Chris Culpon	chelsea MTVC@protonmail.com	Helena	MT
		No map will satisfy everyone. Map 12 keeps L&C County with two of its adjacent counties				
		(Broadwater & Jefferson) where the 3 of them make up numerous Tri-County organizations,				
		working groups and task forces that span public health, fire fighting, education, volunteer fire				
CP12	Like	& EMS, law enforcement, hunger reduction and more.	Chelsea Culpon	chelsearandf@gmail.com	Helena	MT
		Thank you for listening to us and keeping this Map 12. Thank you for doing your due diligence				
		to hear all our opinions. This Map is great because it keeps the four big counties intact, which				
		will allow the Rep to better represent the constituents. This keeps two tribes in the West				
		district, helping the tribes maintain a voice. Each district borders Canada and the split follows				
		the Continental Divide, so it makes logical geographical sense. This map allows for diversity of				
		ideals, customs, economic needs and political views on both side with a balance. There will				
		always be differences and allowing this balance forces the Rep to listen to all voices and move				
		to the center rather than to any extreme, which allows for better representation overall.				
		Thank you for not being swayed in any direction rather creating a fair map. We appreciate it				
CP12	Like	and think it will benefit our beautiful state!	Nicole Schubert	nicolejschubert18@gmail.com	Kalispell	Montana
		I respectfully request that you please keep CP-12 as it is for all of the reasons I've stated				
		previously. The map best divides the state into people groups with common issues,				
		economics and culture. The alternative maps which proposed dividing up large counties and				
		populations centers are ludicrous. What does Kalispell have in common with Ekalaka? Or				
		Bozeman with Bainville? Montanans can trusted to be the independent voters they always				
		were, and, as I have mentioned in previous comments, the historical division of east/west				
		before we lost one representative worked fluidly. CP-12 comes close to matching that very				
		logical division of Montana historically, plus it does not divide the largest counties and				
		populations centers.				
		Thank you to the commission for its service and for choosing the best map, CP-12. I				
CP12	Like	respectfully request that you make no changes to it. Thank you	Kathleen Burt	commakaysie@yahoo.com	Kalispell	Montana
		I continue to support Map CP12 because it keeps the CSKT and Blackfeet Reservations in the				
		Western District, which allows for a stronger voice for the tribes. It also keeps Lewis and Clark,	,			
		Flathead, and Gallatin counties whole, while only splitting one county. Finally, it keeps				
CP12	Like	Flathead County in the Western District. This map makes the most sense for Montana.	Melisa Schelvan	mbschelvan@gmail.com	Missoula	MT